

Milk Sanitation Honor Roll for 1952-54

Forty-five communities have been added to the Public Health Service milk sanitation "honor roll" and 65 communities on the previous list have been dropped. This revision covers the period from July 1, 1952, to June 30, 1954, and includes a total of 285 cities and 68 counties.

Communities on the "honor roll" have complied substantially with the various items of sanitation contained in the Milk Ordinance and Code Recommended by the United States Public Health Service. The State milk sanitation authorities concerned report this compliance to the Public Health Service. The rating of 90 percent or more, which is necessary for inclusion on the list, is computed from the weighted average of the percentages of compliance. Separate lists are compiled for communities in which all market milk sold is pasteurized, and for those in which both raw milk and pasteurized milk is sold.

The recommended milk ordinance, on which the milk sanitation ratings are based, is now in effect through voluntary adoption in 406 counties and 1,573 municipalities. The ordinance also serves as the basis for the regulations of 34 States and 2 Territories. In 11 States and the 2 Territories it is in effect statewide.

The ratings do not represent a complete measure of safety, but they do indicate how closely a community's milk supply conforms with the standards for grade A milk as stated in the recommended ordinance. High-grade pasteurized milk is safer than high-grade raw milk because of the added protection of pasteurization. The second list, therefore, shows the percentage of pasteurized milk sold in a community which also permits the sale of raw milk.

Although semiannual publication of the list is intended to encourage

This compilation is from the Division of Sanitary Engineering Services of the Bureau of State Services, Public Health Service. The previous listing was published in Public Health Reports, March 1954, pp. 327-330. The rating method was described in Public Health Reports 53: 1386 (1938). Reprint No. 1970.

communities operating under the recommended ordinance to attain and maintain a high level of enforcement of its provisions, no comparison is intended with communities operating under other milk ordinances. Some communities might be deserving of inclusion, but they cannot be listed because no arrangements have been made for determination of their ratings by the State milk sanitation authority concerned. In other cases, the ratings which were submitted have lapsed because they were more than 2 years old. Still other communities, some of which may have high-grade milk supplies, have indicated no desire for rating or inclusion on this list.

The rules for inclusion of a community on the "honor roll" are:

1. All ratings must be determined by the State milk sanitation authority in accordance with the Public Health Service rating method, which is based upon the grade A pasteurized milk and the grade A raw milk requirements of the Public Health Service milk ordinance. (A departure from the method described consists of computing the pasteurized milk rating by weighting the pasteurization plant rating twice that of the raw milk intended for pasteurization.)

2. No community will be included in the list unless both its pasteurized milk and its retail raw milk ratings are 90 percent or more. Communities in which only raw milk is sold will be included if the retail raw milk rating is 90 percent or more.

3. The rating used will be the latest submitted to the Public Health Service, but no rating will be used which is more than 2 years old. (In order to promote continuous rigid enforcement rather than occasional "cleanup campaigns," it is suggested that when the rating of a community on the list falls below 90 percent, no resurvey be made for at least 6 months. This will result in the removal of the community from the subsequent semiannual list.)

4. No community will be included on the list whose milk supply is not under an established program of official routine inspection and laboratory control provided by itself, the county, a milk control district, or the State. (In the absence of such an official program there can be no assurance that only milk from sources rating 90 percent or more will be used continuously.)

5. The Public Health Service will make occasional check surveys of cities for which ratings of 90 percent or more have been reported by the State. (If the check rating is less than 90 percent, but not less than 85, the city will be removed from the 90-percent list after 6 months unless a resurvey submitted by the State during this probationary period shows a rating of 90 percent or more. If the check rating is less than 85 percent, the city will be removed from the list immediately. If the check rating is 90 percent or more, the city will be retained on the list for 2 years from the date of the check survey, unless a subsequent rating during this period warrants its removal.)

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, July 1952-June 1954—Con.

100 PERCENT OF MARKET MILK PASTEURIZED

<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>
<i>North Carolina—Continued</i>		<i>Tennessee—Continued</i>		<i>Texas—Continued</i>	
Edgecombe County (excluding Rocky Mount).....	7-16-1952	Decherd.....	10-17-1952	Victoria.....	7-24-1952
Forsyth County.....	7-16-1952	Dyersburg.....	10-23-1952	Waxahachie.....	9-30-1952
Granville County.....	7-21-1953	Fayetteville.....	6- 2-1953	Weslaco.....	2-25-1953
Guilford County.....	8- 6-1952	Gallatin.....	7- 8-1953	Wichita Falls.....	4- 6-1954
Henderson-Transylvania Counties.....	2-18-1954	Johnson City.....	8-27-1952		
Iredell County.....	10-25-1952	Kingsport.....	10- 8-1953	<i>Utah</i>	
Lee County.....	11-19-1953	Knoxville.....	8- 6-1953	Ogden.....	11-10-1953
Mitchell County.....	10-23-1953	Lebanon.....	8- 1-1952	Salt Lake City.....	3-30-1954
Nash County (excluding Rocky Mount).....	9-17-1953	Livingston.....	1-27-1954	Utah County.....	6-10-1953
New Hanover County.....	5-28-1954	Manchester.....	10-17-1952		
Onslow County.....	5- 6-1953	Memphis.....	3-25-1954	<i>Virginia</i>	
Orange County.....	6- 9-1953	Murfreesboro.....	7- 2-1953	Abingdon.....	11- 5-1953
Person County.....	3-17-1953	Nashville and Davidson County.....	10-28-1953	Blacksburg.....	8- 7-1952
Pitt County.....	5-15-1953	Newbern.....	12-23-1952	Bristol.....	11- 5-1953
Rockingham-Caswell Counties.....	3-12-1954	Pulaski.....	6- 3-1953	Buena Vista.....	8- 4-1953
Rocky Mount.....	9- 8-1953	Sparta.....	5- 5-1954	Front Royal.....	8- 7-1953
Wilson County.....	9-18-1953	Spring City.....	5-14-1953	Lexington.....	8- 4-1953
Yadkin County.....	10- 1-1952	Springfield.....	7- 6-1953	Luray.....	8- 7-1953
		Sweetwater.....	9-16-1952	Marion.....	11-18-1953
<i>Oklahoma</i>		Trenton.....	5- 6-1953	Narrows.....	8- 8-1952
Ardmore.....	6-19-1953	Tullahoma.....	10-17-1952	Norfolk.....	5-18-1954
Duncan.....	1-19-1954	Union City.....	8-12-1953	Pearisburg.....	8- 8-1952
Mangum.....	12-17-1953	Winchester.....	10-17-1952	Portsmouth.....	5-18-1954
Okmulgee.....	10-13-1953			Pulaski.....	8- 7-1952
Seminole.....	8-29-1953	<i>Texas</i>		Radford.....	8- 7-1952
Sulphur.....	2-18-1953	Brenham.....	4-10-1953	Richmond.....	4-16-1954
		Commerce.....	9- 2-1952	Roanoke.....	9-19-1952
<i>Oregon</i>		Corpus Christi.....	9-27-1952	South Boston.....	3- 8-1954
Eugene.....	6-11-1953	Corsicana.....	8- 6-1952	Staunton.....	11- 7-1952
Pendleton.....	6-19-1953	Dallas.....	1- 8-1953	Suffolk.....	9-26-1952
		Donna.....	2-25-1953	Williamsburg.....	10- 9-1953
<i>South Dakota</i>		Edinburg.....	2-25-1953		
North Hill Unit:	7-20-1953	El Paso.....	10-21-1952	<i>Washington</i>	
Belle Fourche.....		Falfurrias.....	5- 5-1953	Bellingham.....	8-21-1953
Deadwood.....		Gladewater.....	7-26-1952	Cowlitz County.....	7-30-1953
Lead.....		Harlingen.....	6- 1-1953	Everett.....	6-22-1953
Spearfish.....		Kerrville.....	7-31-1952	Port Angeles.....	9-10-1953
Sturgis.....		Kilgore.....	7-26-1952	Spokane.....	9-25-1952
Sioux Falls.....	10-25-1952	Lufkin.....	3- 9-1953	Walla Walla.....	10-15-1953
		McKinney.....	2-17-1953		
<i>Tennessee</i>		Mercedes.....	2-25-1953	<i>Wisconsin</i>	
Athens.....	7-16-1952	Mineral Wells.....	2-11-1953	Beaver Dam.....	2-27-1953
Bristol.....	11- 5-1953	Mission.....	2-25-1953	Burlington.....	3-26-1953
Chattanooga.....	11-13-1952	Mount Pleasant.....	9-24-1952	Delavan.....	3-26-1953
Clarksville.....	1-30-1953	Nacogdoches.....	9-20-1952	Eau Claire.....	3- 5-1953
Cleveland.....	10- 1-1952	Pampa.....	4- 7-1953	Elkhorn.....	3-26-1953
Clinton.....	4-21-1954	Pharr.....	2-25-1953	Fontana.....	3-26-1953
Cookeville.....	6-16-1953	San Antonio.....	3-25-1953	Green Bay.....	9-17-1953
Covington.....	10-22-1952	San Benito.....	5-30-1953	Lake Geneva.....	3-26-1953
Cowan.....	10-17-1952	San Juan.....	2-25-1953	Madison.....	10-26-1953
Dandridge.....	11-10-1953	Sweetwater.....	2-10-1953	Manitowoc.....	6- 4-1953
		Texarkana.....	7- 2-1952	Ripon.....	2-27-1953
		Texas City.....	1-20-1953	Sheboygan.....	6-19-1953
		Tyler.....	2-10-1953	Tomah.....	5- 6-1953
				Waupun.....	2-27-1953
				Williams Bay.....	3-26-1953

Communities Awarded Milk Sanitation Ratings of 90 Percent or More, July 1952-June 1954—Con.

BOTH RAW AND PASTEURIZED MARKET MILK

<i>Community and percent of milk pasteurized</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community and percent of milk pasteurized</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>	<i>Community and percent of milk pasteurized</i>	<i>Date of rating</i>
<i>Alabama</i>		Jackson, 98.6.....	9-11-1952	<i>Tennessee</i>	
Lanett, 97.8.....	11- 6-1952	Laurel, 93.6.....	8-13-1952	Alcoa, 99.5.....	9-17-1952
<i>Arkansas</i>		<i>Montana</i>		Dayton, 92.1.....	5-14-1953
Little Rock, 99.3.....	4-20-1953	Missoula, 99.4.....	9- - - -1952	Elizabethton, 93.6.....	11-25-1952
<i>Florida</i>		<i>North Carolina</i>		Harriman, 98.....	10-15-1953
Dade County, 99.99..	1- 8-1953	Ashe County, 78.7....	9- 9-1952	Jackson, 98.6.....	11- 5-1953
Manatee County, 99.57.....	8-21-1953	Buncombe County, 97.8.....	6-25-1953	Kingston, 96.....	10-14-1953
<i>Georgia</i>		Davidson County, 96.4.....	7-28-1953	Maryville, 99.5.....	9-17-1952
Brunswick-Glynn County, 96.....	11- 6-1952	Haywood County, 95.....	11- 5-1953	McMinnville, 90.....	5- 5-1954
Cartersville, 97.....	12-11-1952	Kings Mountain, 83.8..	8-18-1952	Ripley, 96.9.....	10-22-1952
Gainesville-Hall County, 92.2.....	4- 9-1954	Lenoir County, 78.4..	1-30-1953	<i>Texas</i>	
Marietta, 96.2.....	5- 4-1954	Macon County, 91.5..	11- 7-1952	Amarillo, 97.....	5-11-1954
Pelham, 88.8.....	11-18-1952	Vance County, 91.2....	7-22-1953	Beaumont, 99.9.....	8-15-1952
Thomasville, 99.5....	6-17-1953	Wake County, 99.3....	3- 4-1953	Brownsville, 96.....	5-29-1953
Toccoa-Stephens County, 88.....	4- 9-1954	Wilkes County, 91.9..	9- 2-1953	Childress, 87.....	2- 4-1953
Washington-Wilkes County, 99.....	9-24-1953	<i>Oklahoma</i>		Cleburne, 95.5.....	7-31-1952
Winder, 97.9.....	1-23-1953	Ada, 87.....	7- 8-1953	Greenville, 98.....	9-27-1952
<i>Kentucky</i>		Elk City, 99.....	4-17-1953	Laredo, 80.....	9-18-1952
Princeton and Caldwell County, 94.7....	6- - - -1953	Enid, 96.6.....	3-30-1954	Longview, 99.4.....	7-26-1952
Somerset, 91.....	2- - - -1953	Henryetta, 88.....	1-16-1953	Lubbock, 99.....	8-25-1952
<i>Louisiana</i>		Hobart, 90.....	1-23-1953	Marshall, 91.....	4-26-1954
Shreveport, 99.9.....	8- - - -1952	Lawton, 99.....	12- 1-1953	Paris, 92.6.....	9-25-1953
<i>Mississippi</i>		Norman, 98.....	2-19-1954	<i>Virginia</i>	
Hattiesburg, 96.....	7-31-1952	Oklahoma City, 97.8..	9-28-1953	Harrisonburg, 96.....	11-12-1952
		Ponca City, 92.6.....	2- 4-1954	<i>Washington</i>	
		Shawnee, 98.9.....	12-17-1953	Seattle-King County, 99.7.....	6-23-1953
		Stillwater, 98.....	4-29-1953	Tacoma, 99.7.....	8-13-1952
		<i>Oregon</i>		<i>West Virginia</i>	
		Astoria, 97.9.....	4-22-1953	Clay County, 88.....	9-16-1952
		Portland, 99.....	5-28-1953	Nicholas County, 55..	9-18-1952
		Salem, 99.3.....	4- 6-1953		

NOTE: In these communities the pasteurized market milk shows a 90-percent or more compliance with the grade A pasteurized milk requirements, and the raw market milk shows a 90-percent or more compliance with the grade A raw milk requirements, of the Milk Ordinance and Code Recommended by the United States Public Health Service.

Note particularly the percentage of the milk pasteurized in the various communities listed. This percentage is an important factor to consider in estimating the safety of a city's milk supply. All milk should be pasteurized, either commercially or at home, before it is consumed.